



Docket No. Q65849

PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT
ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)

Inventor: Shigeharu USHIWATA

Group Art Unit: 3724

Application Number: 09/930,442

Examiner: Omar FLORES-SANCHEZ

Filed: August 16, 2001

Title: CUTTER WITH LASER GENERATOR THAT IRRADIATES CUTTING POSITION ON WORKPIECE TO
FACILITATE ALIGNMENT OF BLADE WITH CUTTING POSITION

Attention: Office of Petitions

MAIL STOP PETITION

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450,

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

FAX: (703) 872-9306

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to a notice or action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the Office notice or action plus any extensions of time actually obtained.

APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION

NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following items:

1. Petition fee

- ☐ Small entity - fee \$_____ (37 C.F.R. § 1.17(m)). Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.27.
☒ Other than small entity - fee \$1,500.00 (37 C.F.R. § 1.17(m)).

2. Reply and/or fee

- A. The reply and/or fee to the above-noted Office action in the form of

Issue Fee Transmittal Form (identify type of reply):

- ☐ has been filed previously on _____
☒ is enclosed herewith.

11/16/2006 MPEP451 00000000 03333442

03 FC:1453

1500.00 00

- B. The issue fee of \$1,700.00.

- ☐ has been paid previously on _____
☒ is enclosed herewith.

3. Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee

- ☐ Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.
☐ A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 C.F.R. § 1.20(d)) of \$_____ for a small entity or \$_____ for other than a small entity) disclaiming the required period of time is enclosed herewith (see PTO/SB/63).

4. STATEMENT: [NOTE: The United States Patent and Trademark Office may require additional information if there is a question as to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) was unintentional (MPEP § 711.03(c), subsections (III)(C) and (D))].

- ☒ The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) was unintentional.
☐ See Attached Statement.

November 14, 2006

Date

Signature

Jeffrey A. Schmidt

41,574

Telephone

202-663-7420

Typed or printed name

Reg. No.

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

- Enclosures: ☒ Fee(s) Payment
☒ Reply
☐ Terminal Disclaimer
☐ Additional sheets containing statements establishing unintentional delay